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## F. Strong discontinuity models

F. 1 Introduction
F. 2 Embedded discontinuities (EED-EAS)
F. 3 Extended finite elements (XFEM-PUM)
F. 4 Comparative evaluation
F. 5 Regularized continua with strong discont.

## F. 1

## Introduction

## Classification of models: kinematic aspects
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## Classification of models: kinematic aspects

Strong
discontinuity


Weak discontinuity


Regularized
localization zone


## Classification of models: material laws



## Traction-separation laws

1) Formulated directly in the traction-separation space a) with nonzero elastic compliance (elasto-plastic, ...) b) with zero elastic compliance (rigid-plastic, ...)



For general applications, we need a link between the separation vector (displacement jump vector) and the traction vector:


## Traction-separation laws

2) "Derived" from a stress-strain law (softening continuum) using the strong discontinuity approach


## Finite element representation of strong discontinuities



1) Discontinuities at element interfaces:
a) Remeshing
b) Interspersed potential discontinuities

## Finite element representation of strong discontinuities


2) Arbitrary discontinuities across elements:
a) Elements with embedded discontinuities using the enhanced assumed strain formulation (EED-EAS)
b) Extended finite elements based on the partition-of-unity concept (XFEM-PUM)

## Embedded discontinuity (enhanced assumed strain)



## Embedded discontinuity (enhanced assumed strain)



Approximation on two overlapping meshes (XFEM)


Approximation on two overlapping meshes (XFEM)


## Enrichment of interpolation functions in one dimension

EED-EAS


## Enrichment of interpolation functions in one dimension

## EED-EAS



XFEM-PUM


## Enrichment of interpolation functions in one dimension

## EED-EAS



XFEM-PUM


XFEM-PUM


## F. 2

## Elements with Embedded

 Discontinuities (EAS)
## Elements with embedded discontinuities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\mathbf{d}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\mathbf{B} \mathbf{d} \\
& \int_{\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \ldots)} \\
& \int_{\mathbf{f}_{\text {int }}}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{int}}}=\int_{V} \mathbf{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathrm{~d} V
\end{aligned}
$$

## Elements with embedded discontinuities

## d

C ... new degrees of freedom characterizing separation (displacement jump)
$\sigma$
t ... traction
$\mathbf{f}_{\text {int }}$

## Elements with embedded discontinuities



## Elements with embedded discontinuities

## d

kinematics


Three types of formulations:

- KOS ... kinematically optimal symmetric
- SOS ... statically optimal symmetric
- SKON ... kinematically and statically optimal nonsymmetric

Elements with embedded discontinuities


Elements with embedded discontinuities


Elements with embedded discontinuities


Elements with embedded discontinuities


## Smeared crack



## Smeared crack



## Smeared crack



## Smeared crack



## Smeared crack



## Smeared crack



$$
\overline{(G)}
$$

## Smeared crack



- Misalignment between crack and element
- Distorted principal directions
- Stress locking


## Embedded crack (EAS approach)



## Embedded crack (EAS approach)



## Embedded crack (EAS approach)



## Embedded crack (EAS approach)



## Embedded crack (EAS approach)



## EED-EAS approach: discontinuous interpolation



## EED- EAS approach: discontinuous interpolation



## EED- EAS approach: discontinuous interpolation



## EED- EAS approach: discontinuous interpolation



$$
\text { F. } 3
$$

## Extended Finite Elements (XFEM)

 Based on Partition of Unity
## Partition of Unity Method

Standard finite element approximation:

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_{I}
$$

The shape functions are a partition of unity:

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x})=1
$$

## Partition of Unity Method

Standard finite element approximation:

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_{I}
$$

The shape functions are a partition of unity:

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x})=1
$$

Enriched approximation:

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x})\left[\mathbf{d}_{I}+\sum_{i \in L_{I}} G_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{i I}\right]
$$

selected enrichment functions

## Partition of Unity Method - eXtended Finite Elements

Enrichment by Heaviside function:


$$
H_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } x \in \Omega^{+} \\ 0 & \text { for } x \in \Omega^{-}\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) & =\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x})\left[\mathbf{d}_{I}+H_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{I}\right]= \\
& =\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_{I}+\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) H_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Partition of Unity Method - eXtended Finite Elements

If the support of $N_{I}$ is contained in $\Omega^{+}$, then $N_{I} H_{\Gamma}=N_{I}$


If the support of $N_{I}$ is contained in $\Omega^{-}$, then $N_{I} H_{\Gamma}=0$
Only if the support of $N_{I}$ is cut by $\Gamma$, then the function $N_{I} H_{\Gamma}$ really enriches the basis.

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }^{2}} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_{I}+\sum_{I \in S_{H}} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) H_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{I}
$$

set of nodes with Heaviside enrichment

## Partition of Unity Method - eXtended Finite Elements



## Partition of Unity Method - eXtended Finite Elements


nodes with Heaviside enrichment

## Partition of Unity Method - eXtended Finite Elements

The enriched approximation can be rearranged to give better physical meaning to the degrees of freedom:

## XFEM-PUM



XFEM-PUM


XFEM - enrichment by step function


## XFEM - enrichment by step function



## XFEM - enrichment by step function



## XFEM - tip enrichment

Additional enrichment improving the approximation around the crack tip:


Functions that appear in the analytical near-tip solution:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & B_{3}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta \\
B_{2}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & B_{4}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta
\end{array}
$$

## XFEM - tip enrichment

Additional enrichment improving the approximation around the crack tip:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) & =\sum_{I=1}^{\text {Nnod }} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_{I}+\sum_{I \in S_{H}} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) H_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{0 I}+ \\
& +\sum_{I \in S_{B}} \sum_{i=1}^{4} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) B_{i}(r(\mathbf{x}), \theta(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{e}_{i I}
\end{aligned}
$$

Functions that appear in the analytical near-tip solution:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & B_{3}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta \\
B_{2}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & B_{4}(r, \theta)=\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta
\end{array}
$$

## XFEM - tip enrichment



## XFEM - tip enrichment


nodes with enrichment by near-tip functions

## XFEM - tip enrichment


nodes with Heaviside enrichment
nodes with enrichment by near-tip functions

## XFEM - tip enrichment


nodes with Heaviside enrichment
nodes with enrichment by near-tip functions

## XFEM - tip enrichment


nodes with Heaviside enrichment
nodes with enrichment by near-tip functions

## XFEM - tip enrichment



But if the crack is curved, we cannot define functions $B_{i}$ in terms of the standard polar coordinates because $B_{1}$ would not be discontinuous across the crack but across the dotted line.

## XFEM - level set functions

Remedy:
Construct curvilinear coordinates $\varphi$ and $\psi$ such that the crack is characterized by $\varphi=0$ and $\psi \leq 0$


## XFEM - level set functions

Remedy:
Construct curvilinear coordinates $\varphi$ and $\psi$ such that the crack is characterized by $\varphi=0$ and $\psi \leq 0$

and define $B_{i}$ in terms of the pseudo-polar coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(\psi, \varphi)=\sqrt{\psi^{2}+\varphi^{2}} \\
& \theta(\psi, \varphi)=\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi) \arccos \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{\psi^{2}+\varphi^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## XFEM - level set functions

Functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the so-called level set functions.


They are defined by their values at nodes around the crack and interpolated using the standard shape functions:

$$
\varphi(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_{I}, \quad \psi(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I} N_{I}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{I}
$$

## XFEM - level set functions

For an existing crack, function $\varphi$ can be constructed as the signed distance function:


$$
\varphi(\mathbf{x})=\left\|\mathbf{x}-P_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})\right\| \operatorname{sgn}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}-P_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\left(P_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right]
$$

## F. 4

## Comparison:

EED-EAS versus XFEM-PUM

## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

Embedded discontinuity

Extended finite elements



## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

|  | Embedded <br> discontinuity | Extended <br> finite elements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOF's added | locally | globally |
| and related to | elements | nodes |
|  |  |  |

## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

|  | Embedded <br> discontinuity | Extended <br> finite elements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOF's added   <br> and related to elements globally <br> Approximation <br> of crack opening discontinuous nodes <br> Enrichment incompatible continuous <br>   . |  |  |

## Separation test



## Separation test

physical smeared EED-EAS XFEM-PUM


## Separation test

physical smeared EED-EAS XFEM-PUM


## EED-EAS approach: partial coupling



## EED- EAS approach: partial coupling



## EED- EAS approach: partial coupling



## XFEM-PUM approach: complete decoupling



## XFEM-PUM approach: complete decoupling



## XFEM-PUM approach: complete decoupling



## XFEM-PUM approach: complete decoupling



## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

Embedded discontinuity

Extended finite elements



## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

|  | Embedded <br> discontinuity | Extended <br> finite elements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOF's added <br> and related to | elements | globally |
| Approximation <br> of crack opening | discontinuous | nodes |
| Enrichment | incompatible | continuous |
| Separated parts | partially coupled | fully decoupled |

## Uniqueness of the element response (EED-EAS)



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response

The solution is unique for infinitesimal displacement increments of an arbitrary direction if

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{Q}_{s y m}\right)+H>0
$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {sym }}$ is the symmetric part of $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{e} \mathbf{B H}$
and $H<0$ is the discrete softening modulus.

Physical meaning of $\mathbf{Q}$

## Uniqueness of the element response
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## Uniqueness of the element response

$$
\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{s y m}\right)>-H_{\text {min }}
$$

$\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{e} \mathbf{B H} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { is proportional to the elastic modulus } \\ & \text { and inversely proportional to the element size }\end{aligned}$

## Uniqueness of the element response

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{Q}_{s y m}\right)>-H_{\min }
$$

$\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbf{D}_{e} \mathbf{B H}$ is proportional to the elastic modulus and inversely proportional to the element size
$\mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{Q}_{s y m} \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{t}^{e}<0 \quad$ can happen

## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response



## Uniqueness of the element response

discontinuity segments placed at element centers


## Uniqueness of the element response

discontinuity segments placed at element centers

maximum deviation $\alpha$ between element side and discontinuity is limited (e.g., 30 degrees for an equilateral triangle)

## Uniqueness of the element response

discontinuity segments form a continuous path


## Uniqueness of the element response

discontinuity segments form a continuous path

maximum deviation $\alpha$ between element side and discontinuity is given by the largest angle of the triangle (e.g., 60 degrees for an equilateral triangle)

## Uniqueness of the element response

Condition under which uniqueness can be guaranteed if the element is sufficiently small:
plane stress $\ldots \cos \alpha>\frac{1+v}{3-v}$
true only if $v<1 / 3$ and the element is close to equilateral

## Uniqueness of the element response

Condition under which uniqueness can be guaranteed if the element is sufficiently small:
plane stress $\ldots \cos \alpha>\frac{1+v}{3-v}$
true only if $v<1 / 3$ and the element is close to equilateral
plane strain $\ldots \cos \alpha>\frac{1}{3-4 v}$
true only if $v<1 / 4$ and the element is close to equilateral

## Uniqueness of the element response

Condition under which uniqueness can be guaranteed if the element is sufficiently small:
plane stress $\ldots \cos \alpha>\frac{1+v}{3-v}$
true only if $v<1 / 3$ and the element is close to equilateral
plane strain $\ldots \quad \cos \alpha>\frac{1}{3-4 v}$
true only if $v<1 / 4$ and the element is close to equilateral
three dimensions $\ldots \cos \alpha>\frac{1}{3-4 \nu}$
violated even if the tetrahedral element is regular

## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

Embedded discontinuity

Extended finite elements



## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

|  | Embedded discontinuity | Extended finite elements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOF's added | locally | globally |
| and related to | elements | nodes |
| Approximation of crack opening | discontinuous | continuous |
| Enrichment | incompatible | compatible |
| Separated parts | partially interacting | independent |
| Numerical behavior | rather fragile | more robust |

## Comparison of EED-EAS and XFEM-PUM

|  | Embedded <br> discontinuity | Extended <br> finite elements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stiffness matrix | always nonsymmetric | can be symmetric |
| Integration scheme <br> for continuous part | remains standard | must be modified |
| Global degrees <br> of freedom | do not change | added during simulation |
| Implementation <br> effort | smaller |  |

