Swarm Intelligence



http://pixdaus.com/single.php?id=168307

Swarm Intelligence

- algorithms inspired by bird flocking, fish schooling, insect colonies etc.
- Particle Swarm Optimization
- Ant Colony Optimization
- Glow-worm Swarm Optimization
- . . .
- different behaviour in transfer of information

Swarm Intelligence

Advantages:

- easy to implement
- it is not necessary to calculate gradients or Hessian matrix

Disadvantages:

• it is necessary to determine correctly all constants and parameters for a given task

Rules of Swarm Intelligence

Rule no. 1: Avoid Collision with neighboring birds



Rules of Swarm Intelligence

Rule no. 2: Match the velocity of neighboring birds



Rules of Swarm Intelligence

Rule no. 3: Stay near neighboring birds





http://www.crackedcamera.com/flock-of-birds-san-diego-ca/

- firstly published in 1995 by authors Kennedy and Eberhart [1]
- stochastic method
- it is not necessary to calculate gradients or Hessian matrix
- for discrete, continuous and combined problems



Original approach:

$$v_{id}^{k+1} = w \cdot v_{id}^{k} + c_1 \cdot rand() \cdot (p_{id} - x_{id}) + c_2 \cdot Rand() \cdot (p_{gd} - x_{id})$$
$$x_{id}^{k+1} = x_{id}^{k} + v_{id}^{k+1}$$

w – inertia weight c_1 – cognitive factor c_2 – social factor

Stochastic contribution to velocity



Constriction method (Clerc, 1999) [2, 3]

$$v_{id}^{k+1} = K \cdot \left[v_{id}^{k} + c_1 \cdot rand() \cdot (p_{id} - x_{id}) + c_2 \cdot Rand() \cdot (p_{gd} - x_{id}) \right]$$

$$K = \frac{2}{\left|2 - \varphi - \sqrt{\varphi^2 - 4\varphi}\right|}, \text{ where : } \varphi = c_1 + c_2, \ \varphi > 4$$
$$x_{id}^{k+1} = x_{id}^k + v_{id}^{k+1}$$

K – constriction factor

Orientation values of parameters

C ₁ , C ₂	2
rand(), Rand()	(0, 1)
W	0,4 - 0,9 for const.
K	$0,729$ (for $\phi = 4,1$)

Notes: w - analogy to SA - it is possible to use constant values, linearly changing values etc.

Algorithm

setting of constants, factors, ... initial placement of particles in space setting of initial velocity

```
while (termination condition != true )

k++ % cycle iteration

for i = 1 : number of particles

enumeration of an objective function f_i^k

if (f_i^k < p_i) then p_i = f_i^k

if (f_i^k < p_g) then p_g = f_i^k

updating particle velocity V_i

updating particle location X_i

end
```

end

PSO.m



PSO variants [4]

- random reinitialization of particle velocities
- maximum velocity restriction
 - restriction of vector length
 - restriction of each component of the vector
- minimum velocity restriction
- craziness
- inertia weight (constant value, linearly changing value, ...)
- constriction factor
- and many others

Boundary conditions



Another Particle Swarm Toolbox



References

- [1] Kennedy, J.; Eberhart, R. C. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. IEEE International Conference of Neural Networks, 4: 1942 – 1948.
- [2] Clerc, M. (1999). The Swarm and The Queen: Towards a Deterministic and Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation CEC'99, 3: 1951 – 1957.
- [3] Eberhart, R. C.; Shi, Y. (2000). Comparing Intertia Weights and Constriction Factors in Particle Swarm Optimization. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, 1: 84 – 88.
- [4] Wilke, D. N.; Kok, D.; Groenwold, A. A. (2006). Comparison of Linear and Classical Velocity Update Rules in Particle Swarm Optimization : Notes on Diversity. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 70: 962 – 984.

References

- [5] Shi, Y.; Eberhart, R. C. (1999). Empirical Study of Particle Swarm Optimization. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation CEC'99, 3: 1945 – 1950.
- [6] Clerc, M. (2006). Particle Swarm Optimization. 1. ed. Wiley-ISTE. ISBN-13: 978-1-905209-04-0



http://ananthu-howtonameit.blogspot.com/2010/11/secret-of-success-get-mind-set-of-ant.html

- first published in 90's by M. Dorigo et al.
- stochastic method
- it is not necessary to calculate gradients or Hessian matrix
- algorithm was originally tested on TSP (Travelling Salesman Problem)
- pheromone



http://users.sussex.ac.uk/~tn41/antStage2/war/ AntAppletFull.html



Travelling Salesman Problem







$$\mathbf{p}(e_{1,j}) = \frac{\tau_{1,j}}{\tau_{1,2} + \tau_{1,3} + \tau_{1,4}}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(e_{2,j}) = \frac{\tau_{2,j}}{\tau_{2,3} + \tau_{2,4}}$$

(a) First step of the solution construction.

(b) Second step of the solution construction.

(c) The complete solution after the final construction step.

published in [8]

Modern optimization methods

Travelling Salesman Problem



Algorithm

setting ant number and their random locations setting initial pheromone values

```
while (termination condition != true )
    t++ % cycle iteration (time)
    constructing ant solutions
    (local search)
    updating pheromone values
    relocation of ants
end
```

- Ant System (AS)
- MAX-MIN Ant System
- Ant Colony System
- Hyper-cube AS

Methods of pheromone updating

- Ant System
 - pheromone values are updated by all ants

$$\tau_{ij}^{t+1} = (1-\rho) \cdot \tau_{ij}^{t} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta \tau_{ij}^{t}, \ \Delta \tau_{ij}^{t} = \begin{cases} Q/L_{k}^{*} \\ 0^{**} \end{cases}$$

Methods of pheromone updating

- MAX-MIN Ant System
 - pheromone values are updated by individual ants with the best solution found (best in each iteration, best of all)

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij}^{t+1} &= \left[(1-\rho) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij}^{t} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij}^{best} \right]_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\min}}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\max}}, \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij}^{best} &= \begin{cases} 1/L_{best}^{*} \\ 0^{**} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

* if (*i*,*j*) belongs to the best tour ** otherwise

Methods of pheromone updating

- Ant Colony System
 - local pheromone update after each iteration by all ants

$$\tau_{ij} = (1 - \varphi) \cdot \tau_{ij} + \varphi \cdot \tau_0$$

 global pheromone update at the end of iteration as in MAX-MIN AS

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{cases} (1-\rho) \cdot \tau_{ij} + \rho \cdot \Delta \tau_{ij}^{*} \\ \tau_{ij} \end{cases}$$

* if (*i*,*j*) belongs to the best tour, $\Delta \tau_{ij} = 1/L_{best}$ ** otherwise

References

[7] Colorni, A.; Dorigo, M.; Maniezzo, V. (1991). Distributed Optimization by Ant Colonies. In European Conference on Artificial Life, 134-142.

- [8] Blum, Ch. (2005). Ant Colony Optimization: Introduction and Recent Trends. Physics of Life Reviews, 2(4): 353 373.
- [9] Dorigo, M. (2004). Ant Colony Optimization. 1. vyd. The MIT Press. ISBN: 978-0262042192.
- [10] Dorigo, M.; Birattari, M.; Stutzle, T. (2006). Ant Colony Optimization: Artificial Ants as a Computational Intelligence Technique. Technical Report No. RT/IRIDIA/2006-023, Université Libre de Bruxelles, IRIDIA.

Swarm Intelligence - summary

- stochastic methods do not guarantee the global optima retrieval
- difference in "communication"
 - PSO on swarm level
 - ACO locally by pheromones

References

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/11559particle-swarm-optimization-simulation

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/25986another-particle-swarm-toolbox

http://www.liacs.nl/~baeck/NC/slides/Applet/ants.html

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/14543

A humble plea. Please feel free to e-mail any suggestions, errors and typos to matej.leps@fsv.cvut.cz.

Date of the last version: 25.11.2011 Version: 001